Patterns in responses

We found the following patterns in the responses to Section [1] of the text:
The most obvious pattern is that most of the writers infer that the "voice"
we're reading here is not the voice of the author, but of a made-up
character ("the person narrating," "the person's voice we are hearing,"
"the character in this," "the narrator," and others
A secondary pattern is that most people think that the person speaking is,
probably, in fact, "mad," and that "madness" is related to a disease -
although the voice is insisting that it's not mad.
Almost everyone points out that the passage sets up expectations that
what's coming is going to be fascinating and weird.
A question or comment we have is:
Only two of the responses raise the question of what the narrator "heard"
in hell - or suggest that there's something peculiar about that.
W
We are:
Russ Hunt